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Importance Surgeons should understand the potential long-term complications in patients who undergo cochlear implantation (CI) and should be able to facilitate counseling.

Objectives To review delayed complications after CI and some of the management plans used to treat these complications.

Evidence Acquisition The PubMed and OVID databases were searched for articles published from January 1, 2003, through December 31, 2013, using the search terms cochlear implant and complications. Seven hundred sixty-six articles were identified and searched for reports of delayed complications (≥3 days after surgery). Exclusion criteria consisted of a language other than English, no long-term follow-up report of delayed complications, small case series with fewer than 10 patients, and CI in patients with cochlear malformations. Additional articles were identified with specific search criteria consisting of cochlear implant combined with mastoiditis, meningitis, complication, otitis media, hematoma, cholesteatoma, and facial nerve injury. Data were collected from January 1 through April 1, 2014, and analyzed from April 30 to May 1, 2014.

Findings A total of 88 individual articles were analyzed for this study. These articles included a total of 22,642 patients. Of 6,619 patients with data in regard to sex, 4,319 (65.7%) were male. The patients' ages ranged from 6.2 to 94.9 years, with a mean age of 19.0 years. The duration of follow-up ranged from 1 month to 17 years. The total number of delayed complications was 1,132 (5.7%), with vestibular complications (181 of 4,655 patients [3.9%]) being the most common, followed by device failure (507 of 14,704 patients [3.4%]), the second most common; and taste problems (92 of 7,767 patients [1.2%]), the third most common. Less common complications included cholesteatoma (40 of 8579 patients [0.5%]) and facial nerve palsy (31 of 4,785 patients [0.6%]).

Conclusions and Relevance Cochlear implantation continues to be a reliable and safe procedure, with a low percentage of severe complications when performed by experienced surgeons. The patients should receive lifetime follow-up. These patients need lifetime follow-up to monitor for potential complications and to facilitate their care if complications occur.
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Cochlear implantation (CI) has been used successfully in the management of severe to profound sensorineural hearing loss in children and adults. In experienced hands, a CI surgery is considered a relatively safe procedure, and several large studies have published low rates of intraoperative and postoperative complications. Long-term complications, however, are less well defined. The rates of second CIs and long-term complications can have a direct economic impact. Studies have reported device malfunction, scalp infection, and device extrusion as the most common long-term complications. At least 1 article has defined criteria for unification of complications and reporting to allow an enhanced review of these studies. Because the number of CI procedures has increased dramatically in the past decade, physicians and patients must be aware of the long-term potential consequences of the surgery. In this report, we sought to study delayed complications after CI and to review the medical and/or surgical management plans after complications occur.

Methods

We performed a systematic review of the literature published from January 1, 2003, through December 31, 2013, for patients who had complications after CI. Searches of the PubMed and OVID databases used the terms cochlear implant and complications. The initial search resulted in 766 articles. Studies were included if they reported delayed complications, which were defined as occurring more than 3 days postoperatively. Exclusion criteria consisted of...
language other than English, no record of long-term follow-up, and no report of delayed complications. We also excluded small case series with fewer than 10 patients and series that primarily studied CI in patients with cochlear malformations. We reviewed 80 articles for the study. A PRISMA flow diagram to reflect the selection of these articles is shown in the Figure. Additional articles were added with specific search criteria consisting of cochlear implant combined with mastoiditis, meningitis complication, otitis media, hemotympanum, cholesteatoma, and facial nerve injury. Other articles that were commonly cross-referenced by studies in this review were added. We included a total of 88 articles for analysis during the study period. Data were collected from January 1 through April 1, 2014, and analyzed from April 30 through May 1, 2014.

Figure. PRISMA Flow Diagram

Results

Of the initial 776 identified articles, we reviewed 88 for the study, producing a total of 22,842 unique patients. When reported (in 8519 patients), 4319 patients (50.7%) were male. The patients' ages ranged from 0.2 to 94.9 years, with a mean age of 19.0 years. The duration of follow-up ranged from 1 month to 17 years. The Table summarizes all of the identified complications by adult and pediatric categories.

Vestibular Complications

Fifteen articles reviewed vestibular complications in 181 of 4655 patients, for an overall complication rate of 3.9%. Although several studies documented some vestibular concerns, few series provided detailed discussions. Chen et al reviewed 445 patients older than 60 years who underwent CI from 1999 through 2011. The most common complication observed in their study consisted of balance problems in 30 patients (6.7%), most of whom experienced this complication for longer than 1 month. The balance problems were noted to be more prevalent in adults older than 75 years. Wagner et al studied vestibular function in a small group of patients with CI (n = 20) using the Dizziness Handicap Inventory, caloric irrigation to test the vestibulocochlear reflex, and vestibular evoked myogenic potential to test saccular dysfunction. The Dizziness Handicap Inventory showed a moderate but nonsignificant increase in the score after one CI and a significant increase in the score after the second CI. The vestibular evoked myogenic potential responses disappeared in 3 ears after the first CI and in 2 ears after the second CI. The vestibulocochlear reflex disappeared in 1 ear only after the first cochlear implant. Migirov et al reviewed CI in 300 recipients and noted that the incidence of vestibular problems was similar in the adult and pediatric populations.

Taste

Only 5 articles discussed 22 patients with taste complications among 776 CI recipients (2.8%). The largest number of taste
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complication</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vestibular Complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Device failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taste problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mastoiditis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrode fracture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serozema or hemotympanum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Device rejection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent otitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Device migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesteatoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial nerve weakness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meningitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tympanic membrane perforation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic headaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF otropia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: CSF, cerebrospinal fluid

* Several studies did not delineate adult vs pediatric patients in their data; totals may not represent the combined numbers of pediatric and adult patients.
complications was described by Ikeya et al\textsuperscript{11} in a review that included 366 patients. However, besides mentioning that 12 adult patients had postoperative taste issues, specific problems and their management were not described. Wagner et al\textsuperscript{9} specifically reviewed taste complaints as well as vestibular complications in 20 patients. Their study reported a subjective feeling of taste dysfunction in 4 patients, including 3 patients after the second CI. However, only 1 patient had objective findings on results of taste testing.\textsuperscript{9}

**Device Failure**

Our study showed that device failure is the second most common complication encountered in patients with CIs. A total of 37 articles\textsuperscript{2-4,6-8,11-12,16,17,35-45} discussed device failure in 507 of 14,704 patients (3.4%). A large study by Cullen et al\textsuperscript{13} described 952 pediatric patients at 2 large institutions during a 15-year period and found 49 hard failures (uncommon sudden loss of device function) and 16 soft failures (uncommon device malfunction that is very difficult to detect with current software). Sixty-six of their patients (6.9%) required revision surgery with reimplantation. Twenty of their pediatric patients had a history of head trauma. Although not surprising, device failure is cause for concern because of the potential for decreased performance among CI recipients. Failure of the CI device is of particular concern in children because they may not be able to articulate problems with the device. A patient with a suspected device failure often undergoes clinical, audiologic, and radiographic workups in addition to device testing. Unfortunately, many of the patients will present with normal results of device integrity testing.\textsuperscript{46} In fact, the data suggest that, in approximately 50% of cases with suspected device failure, the implants function within the manufacturer’s specifications and return normal results of integrity testing, but the devices do not undergo correlation with explanted (ex vivo) device analysis.\textsuperscript{22} Essentially, the diagnosis is supported by the return of function with the subsequent reimplantation.\textsuperscript{29} Once explanted, all devices are sent to the manufacturer for ex vivo device analysis. Most causes of device failure involve fracture of the casing and loss of the hermetic seal.\textsuperscript{22,24}

**Skin Infections**

We found 11 articles\textsuperscript{5,21,23,26,42,44,47-50} that reported 238 skin infections among 17,878 patients undergoing CI (1.3%). Minor skin infections are more common in the immediate postoperative period. Loundon et al\textsuperscript{47} described skin issues in 23 of 434 patients (5.3%) in a retrospective review performed at a tertiary center. Only 15 patients in their study required revision surgery, with 11 patients needing reimplantation of the device. For patients who required reimplantation, the delay to initial CI ranged from 1.5 months to 7 years. Ray et al\textsuperscript{49} investigated complications of surgical procedures with different incision lengths. Implants in their study before 1994 used a large incision and had a 2.4% incidence of skin infections; patients with smaller incisions after 1994 had a 1.1% incidence of skin infections. This study showed a small, statistically insignificant decrease in postoperative infections when smaller incisions were used. A similar study by Cullen et al\textsuperscript{21} reviewed 922 pediatric patients undergoing CI and reported a 2.1% rate of skin infection that required surgical intervention in their patient population. Six patients had single surgical procedures, whereas 5 patients underwent multiple procedures for a total of 16 procedures. Two patients subsequently needed explantation, with revision CI surgery performed in the contralateral ear.\textsuperscript{21}

**Mastoiditis**

Ten articles\textsuperscript{10,12,15,19,41,43,51-54} discussed 42 cases of delayed mastoiditis in 4 patients undergoing CI (1.4%). The largest study in this review was performed by Oeborn et al.\textsuperscript{55} The investigators followed up 806 pediatric patients with CI and reported 8 episodes of mastoiditis. All patients were treated with surgical intervention with myringotomy, and 2 patients received postauricular and intravenous antibiotics. The CI was preserved in these cases. Brito et al\textsuperscript{12} reviewed findings in 550 patients (341 children and 209 adults) and found 6 patients with otomastoiditis. Five patients were children, all of whom were successfully treated with admission, intravenous antibiotics, and myringotomy with tubes. The single adult was treated similarly but developed a persistent perforation followed by cholesteatoma formation.

**Recurrent Otitis**

Fifteen articles\textsuperscript{5} were identified that discussed 60 cases of delayed otitis media in 7,541 patients undergoing CI (0.8%). Venali et al\textsuperscript{19} described 5 patients with otitis media among 500 CI recipients. Two of these patients required surgical treatment, and the other 3 were treated with oral antibiotics; none required device removal. Loundon et al\textsuperscript{47} had 4 delayed complications of otitis media among 434 patients. Two patients were treated only with antibiotics and 2 patients required ventilation tubes. Ikeya et al\textsuperscript{11} performed a review that included 406 patients, among whom were found 13 cases of otitis media. Postoperative otitis media was attributed to wound or flap infections, and 12 patients subsequently required reimplantation. Seven patients were adults with a history of otitis media; 5 patients were children without any history of otitis media. Staphylococcus aureus was detected in 6 patients; mitchellisin-resistant S. aureus, in 3 patients; and Aspergillus species, in 1 patient. Species were unknown in 2 patients. The infections occurred from 1 month to 20 years postoperatively.\textsuperscript{11}

**Seroma or Hematoma**

 Twelve articles\textsuperscript{19} were reviewed that included 5,486 patients undergoing CI with 48 (0.9%) delayed complications related to seroma or hematoma. Loundon et al\textsuperscript{47} described 18 pediatric patients with skin issues thought to originate from late seromas or hematomas of unclear origin. Only 15 patients required revision surgery, whereas 3 patients were treated with local wound care. The late occurrence of symptoms was attributed to the children having thinner skin and bone tissue, leading to a tendency to be prone to soft-tissue trauma and subsequent infections.\textsuperscript{57} The incidence of delayed seroma or hematoma complications in the adult population appeared to be very low.\textsuperscript{59}

**Device Migration**

We identified 14 articles\textsuperscript{6} that included device migration in 62 of 8,807 patients undergoing CI (0.7%). Device migration complications range from asymptomatic occurrences to a requirement of reimplantation.\textsuperscript{58}
vision surgery for explantation. Black\textsuperscript{85} reported device migration in 6 of 547 cases (1.1%), believing the main cause to be creation of an overly large perichondrial pocket. Methods to secure the device adequately include creation of a smaller or tighter perichondrial pocket, a bony well for stabilization, or suture of the device to bone.

**Electrode Issues**

We reviewed 10 studies\textsuperscript{6,7,11,19,34,45,63-66} with a total of 1741 patients undergoing CI in whom 33 (1.9%) had electrode array issues that led to explantation. Based on the reports, electrode issues included individual electrode failure, electrode migration, electrodes slipping out, nonauditory stimulation, and electrode exposure. Ikeya et al\textsuperscript{11} described 4 patients with electrode problems, all of whom had to undergo reimplantation. Carlson et al\textsuperscript{63} found that 9.0% of all CIs showed at least 1 individual electrode that failed. Schow et al\textsuperscript{64} demonstrated that, of 322 implanted devices, the overall explantation rate was 6.1% and the individual electrode failure rate was 6.8%; however, individual electrode failure led to only 3 explantations (0.9% of patients). One individual electrode that does not function in an array does not cause noticeable effects, but cumulative malfunction of electrodes can cause a decrease in the hearing benefit.\textsuperscript{63,64} Older studies have reported a higher incidence of electrode problems, including an incidence of 4.3% in 4969 patients\textsuperscript{65} and an incidence of 6.5% in 153 patients.\textsuperscript{66}

**Cholesteatoma**

A total of 16 articles\textsuperscript{5} reviewed found postoperative cholesteatoma in 40 of 8579 patients undergoing CI (0.5%). Brito et al\textsuperscript{52} described 6 patients with postoperative cholesteatoma that developed at 18 to 84 months after surgery, and all patients required subsequent operative interventions. The mean time to cholesteatoma development was 45 months, which was consistent with the findings of other studies. The late onset of these complications demonstrates the need for extended follow-up after CI.\textsuperscript{67}

**Facial Nerve Weakness**

We reviewed a total of 18 articles\textsuperscript{1} with a postoperative incidence of delayed facial nerve weakness in 31 of 4785 patients undergoing CI (0.6%). Postoperative facial nerve weakness is rare but can occur within 2 days in association with edema or nerve injury or can be delayed after 3 days, for which a few theories have been formed. The reactivation of herpesvirus affecting the facial nerve function is well documented after tympanomastoid surgery, including CI.\textsuperscript{65} Surgical procedures with extensive nerve manipulation, such as trigeminal rhizotomy, are associated with high viral reactivation.\textsuperscript{68} Sources of nerve manipulation could occur with the creation of a tympanomeatal flap, but a more reasonable source is manipulation or transection of the chorda tympani.\textsuperscript{69} Chen et al\textsuperscript{69} reported 2 patients with transient delayed weakness of the facial nerve; both patients had full return of function. Thom et al\textsuperscript{70} reviewed 888 patients (282 children and 606 adults) and described 10 patients with delayed facial nerve paresis. All the patients had complete resolution of their symptoms, and treatment with corticosteroids was recommended for any patient with delayed postoperative facial nerve weakness.\textsuperscript{70} Joseph et al\textsuperscript{70} performed an extensive literature review for patients with delayed facial nerve paresis after CI. The study postulated the mechanisms for paresis to be neural edema, vasospasm, and viral reactivation. The investigators recommended assessment of viral titers and treatment with corticosteroids, antivirals, and physiotherapy for faster resolution of symptoms. The data did not show a significant difference in incidence between the pediatric and adult populations.

**Meningitis**

We reviewed 18 studies\textsuperscript{2,8,10,12,16,17,19,20,26,28,40,47,52,59,74-77} with a total of 5324 patients undergoing CI, among whom 9 cases (0.2%) of meningitis were identified. Historically, a landmark study initiated by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention by Reefhuis et al\textsuperscript{75} and conducted from January 1997 to August 2002 showed 21 total cases of delayed meningitis among 4265 patients (before our study period). Those investigators found a significant increase in meningitis after 30 days of 1060.3 vs 53.3 cases per 100 000 person-years associated with implants using a positioner vs no positioner. The manufacturer initiated a voluntary recall of the devices in July 2002. Our data suggest a significant decrease in the incidence of meningitis after recall of the positioner. Considerations to reduce cases of meningitis in patients undergoing CI include aggressive treatment of acute otitis media and mastoiditis.\textsuperscript{75,76} In addition, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention has specific vaccine guidelines for implant recipients before and after CI.

**Device Rejection and Extrusion**

We found 8 studies\textsuperscript{5,10,12,22,28,37,74,77} with 1664 patients undergoing CI in which 16 cases (1.0%) of device rejection or extrusion were noted. Pirzadeh et al\textsuperscript{77} reported 6 cases of device rejection. All cases had a cholesteatoma and a granular reaction up to 9 months after surgery. Possible contributing factors in that study included wearing a hat, previous mastoid surgery, and a previous scar.\textsuperscript{77} Migrov et al\textsuperscript{10} described 2 children who required explantation after a foreign body reaction. Histologic findings were consistent with giant cell reaction to foreign bodies. Reimplantation was successful with an electrode from another company for 1 patient.\textsuperscript{77} Rivas et al\textsuperscript{22} discussed the possibility of silicone allergy as a cause of device problems, particularly in cases in which the cultures had normal findings and with no local reaction to antibiotics. The concept of silicone allergy had been discussed by Kundu et al\textsuperscript{74} who reviewed 3 cases from 1991 through 2004. Both studies acknowledged that only limited data existed but indicated that all the manufacturers (Cochlear Inc, Med El, and Advanced Bionics) have test kits to check for allergies and that all of them have the ability to make custom devices without silicone or with a ceramic housing for the receiver in patients with known allergies or those who need replacement devices after being diagnosed with allergies.\textsuperscript{22}

**Chronic Headaches**

Two studies\textsuperscript{22,53} discussed patients with chronic headaches after CI, with an incidence of 4 cases among 450 patients (0.9%). In both studies reviewed, the cause of the pain was unknown. Kandogan et al\textsuperscript{53} reported 3 cases of chronic head pain that began after CI and continued sporadically for years after the original surgery. A case reported by Black et al\textsuperscript{53} described a 5-year-old girl with chronic head pain on the side of the implant 2 years after the procedure. The head-
ache resolved without explanation, but severe pain to the site 4 years after implantation warranted surgical exploration. At that time, the receiver package had displaced forward from its bed and rested above the ear.

Discussion

Our review focused on delayed complications of CI procedures and is intended to be comprehensive based on the available reported data. However, the review relies on how complications have been reported in the literature, which limits the scope of our findings. We suspect that the complications have been underreported. Several studies focused on particular complications and may have not reported all complications. In addition, some studies did not focus on the demographic information of the populations, making pediatric vs adult data difficult to analyze.

Conclusions

Cochlear implantation continues to be a reliable and safe procedure with a low percentage of severe complications when performed by experienced surgeons. The 3 most common delayed complications found in this study include device failure, skin infections, and vestibular concerns. Although the incidence of complications is low, the possibility of long-term complications warrants follow-up for the patient.
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